

Township of ESSA Municipal Residential Land Budget - Summary Results, 2017

Growth Plan Policy Area	2016-2031			Difference <i>Potential Unit Surplus at 2031</i>
	Schedule 7 <i>Population Growth</i>	Demand <i>Housing Units Needed</i>	Supply <i>Unit Potential</i>	
Delineated Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas	228	82	482	400
Designated Greenfield Areas	984	325	1,889	1,564
Outside Settlement Areas	13	4	40	36
<i>Municipal-wide</i>	1,225	411	2,411	2,000
<p>This table summarizes the overall results for the local municipal residential land budget. The land budget examines the relationship between demand for additional housing units deriving from Schedule 7 forecast population growth and the municipality's available unit supply. The land supply analysis looks at housing units because this is the variable which requires land.</p> <p>Please refer to the Res-Detailed, Supply and Census Data sheets for more information on the inputs, assumptions and calculations underlying the analysis.</p>	<p>This is the number of additional permanent household residents that will need to be accommodated to meet the Schedule 7 forecast.</p>	<p>This is the number of additional housing units required to accommodate forecast population growth under Schedule 7 plus demand for seasonal and recreational units, not occupied by permanent residents.</p>	<p>This is the future housing unit potential based on currently approved units and additional unit potential through existing planning permissions.</p>	<p>This is the difference between the available unit supply and the anticipated unit demand.</p> <p>If a positive figure is indicated, there is sufficient supply identified to meet forecast demand.</p> <p>If a negative figure is indicated, there is a potential shortage of available supply to meet forecast demand. This is the starting point for evaluating further intensification potential or need for additional urban lands.</p>

ESSA: Residential Detailed

Table 1

1. How many units are needed?		2016		2031 Forecast	2016-31 Change	
A. Population Components	Total Population	21,738		21,500	(238)	
	Census Net Undercoverage	3.0%	→	3.0%	--	
		655		648	(7)	
	Census Population	21,083		20,852	(231)	
	Household Population	20,473		20,250	(224)	
	Non-Household Population	610		603	(7)	
		2.9%	→	2.9%	--	
	B. Households	Persons per Unit	2.85		2.67	(0.18)
		Total Occupied Households	7,179		7,574	395
	C. Demand for Seasonal and Recreational Units	Occupied Units	7,179		7,574	395
		Total Units	7,478		7,889	411
		Seasonal and Vacant Units	299		315	16
		Share Seasonal and Vacant	4.0%	→	4.0%	--
	D. Total Unit Demand	Occupied Units	7,179		7,574	395
		Seasonal and Vacant	299		315	16
Total Units		7,478		7,889	411	
Unit Growth 2016-2031		n/a		n/a	411	

RESIDENTIAL SUPPLY DATA CURRENT
AS OF DECEMBER 2016

PLEASE NOTE:
This report is intended to be read in conjunction with the [*Simcoe County Land Budget Data Collection and Analysis Phase 1: Population Growth*](#) document.

Table 2

2. Where will these units be accommodated?		2016-31 Change
A. Demand by Growth Plan policy area	Unit Growth 2016-2031	411
	Local Municipal Intensification Target (1)	20%
	Additional share of growth accommodated within Built Boundaries and/or within the Undelineated Built-Up Area (2)	0%
	Overall share of growth within Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas (3)	20%
	<p>Note: The County Official Plan identifies local intensification targets for each municipality (1). This figure only represents the <i>minimum</i> number of units to be built within delineated built boundaries plus the undelineated built-up areas (3). In the four municipalities with <i>no undelineated built-up area</i>, the County Official Plan local intensification target represents units to be built within the delineated built boundary. Where an additional share of growth can be accommodated within delineated built boundaries plus the undelineated built-up areas (2), the associated units may also be counted towards achievement of the County-wide intensification target in addition to the Official Plan local minimum target.</p>	
	Unit growth within delineated Built Boundaries and undelineated Built-Up Areas	82
	Share of Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	79%
	Unit Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	325
	Share of Growth Outside Settlement Areas	1%
	Unit Growth Outside Settlement Areas	4
Total All <i>Growth Plan</i> Policy Areas		411
		Percent
		100%

Table 3

3. Is there sufficient supply within delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas?	A. Demand	Unit Growth 2016-2031 inside delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	82
	B. Supply inside delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016	0
		Registered and Draft Approved units	457
		Complete Applications units	0
		Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands inside delineated built boundary	25
Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas		0	
Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands not for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas	0		
Total supply	482		
C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031) <i>Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.</i>	400	
D. Response	If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential inside delineated built boundaries & undelineated built up areas to meet the intensification target. If there is a deficit, the County or local municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality to meet the intensification target.		

Table 4

4. What is the status of the land supply outside settlement areas?	A. Demand	Unit Growth 2016-2031 outside settlement areas	4
	B. Supply outside settlement areas	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016	9
		Registered and Draft Approved	31
		Complete Applications	0
		Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands	0
Total supply outside settlement areas		40	
C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031) <i>Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.</i>	36	
D. Response	If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential, and associated land supply, to meet anticipated demand. If there is a deficit, either the County or local municipality can assume that new rural applications or existing lots of record will be sufficient to meet the deficit or the assigned demand share (in 2 a) can be lowered. The approach chosen is a policy decision within the context of rural development policies in the County and local plans.		

Table 5

5. Is there sufficient unit supply in the designated greenfield areas?	A. Demand	Total unit Growth 2016-2031 in the Designated Greenfield Area (DGA)				325
			Single/Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
		Assumed housing mix by type	60.0%	30.0%	10.0%	100.0%
		Housing growth by type	195	97	32	325
	B. Supply in Designated Greenfield Areas (DGA)	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016	215	23	1	239
		Registered and Draft Approved	448	182	0	630
		Complete Applications	0	0	0	0
		Forecast of unit potential on vacant DGA lands for urban uses	554	61	59	674
		Forecast of unit potential on Vacant DGA lands not for urban uses	312	35	0	346
		DGA Supply excluding lands not for urban uses	1,217	266	60	1,543
	DGA Supply including lands not for urban uses	1,528	300	60	1,889	
C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess/Shortfall of supply at 2031 on DGA lands for urban uses	1,022	168	28		
	Excess/Shortfall of Supply at 2031 on all DGA lands <i>Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.</i>	1,334	203	28		
D. Response	If there is a surplus in all unit types on <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> , the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential, and associated land supply, identified in Designated Greenfield <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> to meet the forecast demand. If there is a unit shortfall on Designated Greenfield <i>Lands for Urban Uses</i> , in one or more unit type categories, the County or local municipality should consider adjusting the assumed unit mix of either or both of the supply and demand, where reasonable, to provide a better match between supply and demand by unit type. This reallocation on either the supply or demand side is more readily achieved in Designated Greenfield areas through ground-based unit types (e.g. singles, semis and rows) and not readily achieved for apartments. If the shortfall persists after reasonable adjustments are made, additional Designated Greenfield <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> may be needed to accommodate the residential unit demand.					

Table 6

6. Is there additional population growth in excess of Schedule 7 in accordance with Section 6.3.2 of the Growth Plan (i.e. the 20,000 additional County-wide population)?	A. Additional Supply approved in Excess of Schedule 7 Allocation.	Total amount of land redesignated from <i>Lands Not For Urban Uses</i> to <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i>	0 ha			
		Total additional population approved	0 persons			
		Total number of Units approved on land redesignated from <i>Lands Not For Urban Uses</i> to <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i>	Single/Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
			0	0	0	0

Table 7

7. How does the overall housing unit analysis relate to Schedule 7		2016 -2031 Units	2031 ppu	2016 -2031 Population
		A. Demand by Growth Plan Policy Area	Growth in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	82
	Growth Outside Settlement Areas	4	3.12	13
	Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	325	3.03	984
B. Supply by Growth Plan Policy Area	Total Supply in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	482	2.77	1,335
	Total Supply Outside Settlement Areas	40	3.12	125
	Total Supply in all Designated Greenfield Areas	1,889	3.03	5,724
C. Surplus or (Deficit) by Growth Plan Policy Area	Delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	400		1,107
	Outside Settlement Areas	36		112
	Designated Greenfield Area	1,564		4,739
D. Total Supply vs. Total Schedule 7 Demand	Total Demand	411		1,225
	Total Supply	2,411		7,183
	Surplus or (Deficit)	2,000		5,958
E. Response	A positive figure in the last line of Section C, Designated Greenfield Area <i>Lands for Urban Uses</i> , indicates there is sufficient supply of Designated Greenfield <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> to accomodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. A negative figure in the last line of Section C, Designated Greenfield Area <i>Lands for Urban Uses</i> , indicates that there is insufficient DGA land supply to accommodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. As a result, additional residential land supply may need to be identified through the designation of <i>Lands Not For Urban Uses</i> .			

Notes:

1. For some lower-tier municipalities in Simcoe County, the residential supply includes unit potential on *Lands for Urban Uses* and *Lands Not for Urban Uses* , per s.6.3.2.2. of the Growth Plan. These terms and the associated policies will no longer be in effect on July 1, 2017. Development potential on some lands may be somewhat over-estimated and require further refinement in the context of updated information that may be received. The County will continue to work with lower-tier municipalities to review and update the residential supply information in order to provide an appropriate basis for managing growth and land use planning in Simcoe County.
2. The residential land budget approach and results will be reviewed in the context of the Growth Plan, 2017 which comes into effect on July 1, 2017. At such time that the Province releases the forthcoming standard land needs assessment method, the County will also review the results and undertake any necessary updates to remain consistent with Provincial policy.

MUNICIPALITY: ESSA
Data current as of December 2016

All Registered and Draft Approved Plans

Application/File #	Development Name	Units Remaining to be Built				Total Units Remaining
		Singles	Semis	Rows	Apts.	
E-T-0502	Sardelis-Thornton	31	0	0	0	31
E-T-0602	Marshall (Baxter Estates)	250	0	0	0	250
E-T-94007	Sand Diego Homes (Victoria Village) formerly Previn Court	68	70	39	0	177
E-T-0701	Brownley Meadows Ph 2	0	0	30	0	30
E-T-0701-PH.1	Brownley Meadows Phase 2	90	114	10	0	214
E-MPL-1601	Stonemount Block 12	0	20	0	0	20
E-T-0504	Queensgate	163	0	0	0	163
E-T-0503	Maple Lane	0	0	52	0	52
E-T-96006	Riverview	48	0	40	0	88
E-T-0201	Guergis-Baxter	13	0	0	0	13
E-T-0603	ATF (Aramis Holding Ltd)	0	0	80	0	80
						0
Total Inside Built Boundary		250	0	0	0	250
Total Inside Undelineated Built-Up Area		68	70	69	0	207
Total in Designated Greenfield Area		314	134	182	0	630
Total Outside Settlement Areas (Rural)		31	0	0	0	31
Total		663	204	251	0	1,118

Growth Plan Policy Area			
iBB	iUBUA	DGA	Rural
YES			YES
	YES		
		YES	
		YES	
		YES	
		YES	
		YES	
		YES	
		YES	
		YES	

<i>Addition Check (all should be zero)</i>					
iBB Unit Split in %	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
iUBUA Unit Split in %	33%	34%	33%	0%	100%
DGA Unit Split in %	50%	21%	29%	0%	100%

Complete Applications Pending Approval

Application/File #	Development Name	Proposed Units by Type				Total Units Proposed
		Singles	Semis	Rows	Apartments	
NONE						0
						0
						0
Total Inside Built Boundary		0	0	0	0	0
Total Inside Undelineated Built-Up Area		0	0	0	0	0
Total in Designated Greenfield Area		0	0	0	0	0
Total Outside Settlement Areas (Rural)		0	0	0	0	0
Total		0	0	0	0	0

Growth Plan Policy Area			
iBB	iUBUA	DGA	Rural

<i>Addition Check (all should be zero)</i>					
iBB Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
iUBUA Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DGA Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Within Delineated Built Boundary

Settlement Area/Location	Total Unit Potential	Assumed Housing Mix					Total Unit Potential by Type				
		Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
36 Mill St.	8	11%	39%	50%	0%	100%	1	3	4	0	8
35 Centre St.	17	11%	39%	50%	0%	100%	2	7	9	0	17
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
Total Inside Built Boundary	25	11%	39%	50%	0%	100%	3	10	13	0	25

Unit Potential on All Vacant **Lands For Urban Uses**

Location/Land Holder	Total Unit Potential	Assumed Housing Mix					Total Unit Potential by Type				
		Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
21 Vernon St.	40	60%	30%	10%	0%	100%	24	12	4	0	40
2 Alma St.	59	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0	0	0	59	59
325 Centre St. - 8409137 Canada Inc.	150	60%	30%	10%	0%	100%	90	45	15	0	150
24 Vernon St.	325	60%	30%	10%	0%	100%	195	98	33	0	325
8928 5th Line	8	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	8	0	0	0	8
Stonemount Med. Den. - No Location	37	60%	30%	10%	0%	100%	22	11	4	0	37
Block 124 Stonemount	55	60%	30%	10%	0%	100%	33	17	6	0	55
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
iUBUA Total	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0
DGA Total	674	55%	27%	9%	9%	100%	372	182	61	59	674

iUBUA	DGA
	YES
	YES
	YES
	YES
	YES
	YES

FUU Lands Total

Unit Potential on All Vacant **Lands Not For Urban Uses**

Location/Land Holder	Total Unit Potential	Assumed Housing Mix					Total Unit Potential by Type				
		Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Willoughby Rd. - 8291 4th Line	175	60%	30%	10%	0%	100%	105	53	18	0	175
6477 Browns Line	171	60%	30%	10%	0%	100%	103	51	17	0	171
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
iUBUA Total	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0
DGA Total	346	60%	30%	10%	0%	100%	208	104	35	0	346

iUBUA	DGA
	YES
	YES

FNUU Lands Total

All Vacant Lands Designated for Residential Development **Outside Settlement Areas**

Designation and Location	Unit Potential	Assumed Housing Mix					Total Unit Potential by Type				
		Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
NONE	0	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0

Census Data Inputs to Simcoe County Land Budget - 2017 Update
Population by Local Municipality

2016 Population					
Municipality	Household	Non-Household	Census	Undercoverage	Total
Adjala-Tosorontio	10,858	117	10,975	341	11,316
Bradford West Gwillimbury	34,882	443	35,325	1,097	36,422
Clearview	13,905	246	14,151	440	14,591
Collingwood	21,084	709	21,793	677	22,470
Essa	20,473	610	21,083	655	21,738
Innisfil	36,433	133	36,566	1,136	37,702
Midland	16,368	496	16,864	524	17,388
New Tecumseth	33,637	605	34,242	1,063	35,305
Oro-Medonte	20,991	45	21,036	653	21,689
Penetanguishene	8,317	645	8,962	278	9,240
Ramara	9,437	51	9,488	295	9,783
Severn	13,377	100	13,477	419	13,896
Springwater	18,951	108	19,059	592	19,651
Tay	9,960	73	10,033	312	10,345
Tiny	11,680	107	11,787	366	12,153
Wasaga Beach	20,513	162	20,675	642	21,317
Municipal Simcoe County	300,823	4,693	305,516	9,489	315,005
Barrie	138,860	2,574	141,434	4,393	145,827
Orillia	30,054	1,112	31,166	968	32,134
Simcoe Census Division (excl. First Nations)	469,687	8,429	478,116	14,849	492,965
First Nation Areas	617	917	1,534	48	1,582
Simcoe Census Division	469,851	9,799	479,650	14,897	494,547

Note: Total Census Division population of 494,547 is taken from Statistics Canada's Annual Demographic Estimates released on March 8, 2017.

2016 Total, Occupied & Non-permanent Housing Units

Total & Occupied Housing Units, 2016 Census					
Municipality	Total Housing Units	Occupied Housing Units	Difference	% Seasonal / Vacant	PPU (All units)
Adjala-Tosorontio	3,974	3,834	140	3.5%	2.83
Bradford West Gwillimbury	11,918	11,591	327	2.7%	3.01
Clearview	6,040	5,335	705	11.7%	2.61
Collingwood	11,617	9,556	2,061	17.7%	2.21
Essa	7,478	7,179	299	4.0%	2.85
Innisfil	14,875	13,364	1,511	10.2%	2.73
Midland	7,739	7,374	365	4.7%	2.22
New Tecumseth	13,191	12,906	285	2.2%	2.61
Oro-Medonte	9,013	7,989	1,024	11.4%	2.63
Penetanguishene	3,990	3,679	311	7.8%	2.26
Ramara	6,028	4,032	1,996	33.1%	2.34
Severn	6,927	5,436	1,491	21.5%	2.46
Springwater	7,234	6,694	540	7.5%	2.83
Tay	4,931	4,127	804	16.3%	2.41
Tiny	9,712	4,899	4,813	49.6%	2.38
Wasaga Beach	12,516	9,005	3,511	28.1%	2.28
Municipal Simcoe County	137,183	117,000	20,183	14.7%	2.57
Barrie	54,227	52,476	1,751	3.2%	2.65
Orillia	14,287	13,477	810	5.7%	2.23
Simcoe Census Division	205,697	182,953	22,744	11.1%	2.57

Note: Totals for Simcoe County do not include any First Nation Reserve Lands.

Census Data Inputs to Simcoe County Land Budget - 2017 Update

Persons Per Unit (PPU) by unit type based on 2011 Census; All Units 2016 PPU based on 2016 Census.

Census figure

Forecast

Adjala-Tosorontio				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	3.07	n/a	1.78	3.04
2006	3.01	n/a	2.48	2.98
2011	2.94	4.00	1.75	2.94
2016	2.91	3.95	1.73	2.83
2021	2.86	3.89	1.70	2.84
2026	2.83	3.86	1.68	2.81
2031	2.83	3.86	1.68	2.80

Bradford West Gwillimbury				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	3.35	2.30	2.06	3.11
2006	3.12	2.59	2.34	2.99
2011	3.09	2.55	2.16	2.93
2016	3.05	2.52	2.14	3.01
2021	3.00	2.48	2.10	2.85
2026	2.97	2.46	2.08	2.82
2031	2.97	2.46	2.08	2.82

Clearview				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.89	2.35	1.50	2.80
2006	2.79	2.38	2.05	2.74
2011	2.75	1.96	1.81	2.68
2016	2.72	1.94	1.79	2.61
2021	2.67	1.91	1.76	2.60
2026	2.64	1.89	1.74	2.57
2031	2.64	1.89	1.74	2.57

Collingwood				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.66	2.35	1.57	2.37
2006	2.54	2.16	1.69	2.30
2011	2.46	2.21	1.62	2.23
2016	2.43	2.18	1.60	2.21
2021	2.39	2.15	1.57	2.16
2026	2.37	2.13	1.56	2.14
2031	2.37	2.13	1.56	2.14

Essa				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	3.04	3.17	1.85	2.96
2006	2.93	2.54	2.03	2.87
2011	2.86	2.35	2.24	2.80
2016	2.83	2.32	2.22	2.85
2021	2.78	2.29	2.18	2.71
2026	2.75	2.27	2.16	2.68
2031	2.75	2.27	2.16	2.67

Innisfil				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.83	2.92	1.64	2.80
2006	2.74	2.87	2.52	2.74
2011	2.69	2.68	2.31	2.68
2016	2.66	2.65	2.28	2.73
2021	2.61	2.61	2.25	2.60
2026	2.59	2.59	2.23	2.58
2031	2.58	2.59	2.22	2.57

Midland				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.61	1.86	1.92	2.42
2006	2.52	2.00	1.78	2.31
2011	2.45	2.04	1.71	2.24
2016	2.42	2.02	1.69	2.22
2021	2.38	1.99	1.65	2.17
2026	2.35	1.98	1.64	2.15
2031	2.35	1.99	1.64	2.15

New Tecumseth				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.99	2.50	1.78	2.78
2006	2.87	2.73	1.82	2.71
2011	2.77	2.57	1.86	2.63
2016	2.73	2.54	1.84	2.61
2021	2.69	2.50	1.81	2.55
2026	2.66	2.48	1.79	2.54
2031	2.66	2.48	1.79	2.54

Oro-Medonte				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.78	1.20	2.53	2.76
2006	2.75	1.60	1.71	2.71
2011	2.69	2.40	2.19	2.68
2016	2.66	2.37	2.17	2.63
2021	2.61	2.34	2.13	2.60
2026	2.59	2.33	2.11	2.57
2031	2.58	2.34	2.10	2.57

Penetanguishene				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.79	1.89	1.74	2.51
2006	2.70	1.92	1.81	2.46
2011	2.56	1.71	1.78	2.34
2016	2.53	1.68	1.76	2.26
2021	2.48	1.66	1.73	2.26
2026	2.46	1.65	1.71	2.23
2031	2.45	1.66	1.71	2.23

Ramara				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.58	2.10	n/a	2.52
2006	2.33	1.69	2.70	2.30
2011	2.49	1.73	1.88	2.43
2016	2.46	1.70	1.85	2.34
2021	2.42	1.68	1.82	2.35
2026	2.39	1.67	1.80	2.32
2031	2.39	1.68	1.80	2.31

Severn				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.68	1.00	2.21	2.65
2006	2.62	n/a	1.82	2.57
2011	2.56	1.40	1.89	2.52
2016	2.53	1.38	1.87	2.46
2021	2.48	1.36	1.83	2.44
2026	2.46	1.36	1.81	2.41
2031	2.46	1.36	1.81	2.40

Springwater				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	3.05	1.25	2.21	3.00
2006	2.99	0.00	1.87	2.93
2011	2.94	2.17	1.98	2.89
2016	2.91	2.14	1.96	2.83
2021	2.86	2.11	1.92	2.81
2026	2.83	2.10	1.91	2.78
2031	2.82	2.11	1.90	2.77

Tay				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.64	0.00	2.19	2.62
2006	2.53	0.00	2.03	2.51
2011	2.47	1.67	1.96	2.45
2016	2.45	1.64	1.94	2.41
2021	2.40	1.62	1.90	2.38
2026	2.38	1.62	1.88	2.35
2031	2.37	1.62	1.88	2.35

Tiny				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.55	0.00	2.76	2.55
2006	2.34	0.00	3.10	2.35
2011	2.41	0.00	2.15	2.41
2016	2.39	0.00	2.13	2.38
2021	2.34	0.00	2.09	2.33
2026	2.32	0.00	2.07	2.30
2031	2.32	0.00	2.06	2.29

Wasaga Beach				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.41	1.86	2.02	2.38
2006	2.41	1.95	1.61	2.38
2011	2.34	1.80	1.79	2.30
2016	2.31	1.78	1.77	2.28
2021	2.27	1.75	1.74	2.22
2026	2.25	1.74	1.72	2.20
2031	2.25	1.74	1.72	2.20

Municipal Simcoe County				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.83	2.34	1.86	2.72
2006	2.72	2.34	1.92	2.63
2011	2.68	2.28	1.86	2.58
2016	2.65	2.25	1.84	2.57
2021	2.60	2.21	1.81	2.50
2026	2.58	2.18	1.79	2.48
2031	2.58	2.18	1.79	2.48

Note: PPU by unit type are based on household units and household population from the 2011 Census. 2016 All Units PPUs are based on available information from initial 2016 Census data release. Forecast is based on one possible housing mix to achieve Schedule 7 of the Growth Plan in each municipality. The ultimate housing mix and other planning decisions may adjust the PPUs. Such changes, however, are likely to be minor.